- if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto, under oath.
- I make this declaration in support of Plaintiff Duke Advantage's 2. Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Improper Venue.
  - Plaintiff Duke Advantage, LLC is a limited liability company of which I 3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

OHS West:260266861.1

am a member. I am, and was at all times relevant to this lawsuit, a resident of Santa Cruz, California. Duke Advantage's principal place of business is located at 2829 Mission Street, in Santa Cruz, California. I am a medical device inventor, and hold eight issued patents and a number of patent applications related to medical stents, stent grafts, and other medical devices.

- In June of 2003, I met with Robert G. Matheny ("Matheny"), the founder 4. and Chief Scientific Officer of Defendant CorMatrix, in San Francisco. Matheny and I discussed the technology CorMatrix was developing, and I offered suggestions for possible uses of CorMatrix's technology, including its potential for use as a covering on a medical stent grafts and other medical devices. In February of 2004, CorMatrix was in need of additional investment to cover the expense of a license payment. Defendants contacted me in Santa Cruz, California via telephone to inquire whether I was interested in purchasing shares of CorMatrix stock. After expressing interest, I negotiated the terms of an investment with Matheny and other CorMatrix executives via telephone, email and facsimile from my office and residence in Santa Cruz, California.
- Ultimately, the Defendants and I agreed that in exchange for a \$350,000 5. investment in CorMatrix, CorMatrix would cause 25,161 shares of its common stock to be issued to Duke Advantage, and Duke Advantage would be provided with representation on CorMatrix's Board of Directors and an active role in overseeing the company's strategy and operations. Defendants represented that I would be given a seat on the company's board as Duke Advantage's representative, but I was never invited to attend any board meetings. When it became clear that the Defendants never intended to live up to their promises, and subsequently took a litigious stance towards myself and another company that I hold an ownership interest in, Duke Advantage filed the present Complaint.
- In connection with Duke Advantage's investment, on February 9, 2004 the 6. Defendants faxed me a stock purchase agreement (entitled "Subscription Agreement") governing the terms of Duke Advantage's purchase of CorMatrix stock. The Subscription Agreement was not the result of any negotiations between myself and the Defendants - it was simply sent to me for my signature. When I received the Subscription Agreement, it had not been filled out to

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

include the specifics of Duke Advantage's investment. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a facsimile I received from CorMatrix's Chief Financial Officer, John Thomas ("Thomas"), attaching a copy of the Subscription Agreement for execution by Duke Advantage, as well as inadvertently attaching a copy of the stock purchase agreement between Purdue Research Foundation and CorMatrix. In the third paragraph of the cover sheet of the facsimile, Thomas states, "[a]lso attached is the stockholder's agreement related to Purdue which you by becoming a shareholder of CorMatrix become a party to as well."

- Thomas faxed the Stockholder's Agreement to Duke Advantage's counsel, 7. Linsey White, on February 10, 2004. There are inconsistent choice of law and choice of forum provisions in the Subscription Agreement and the Stockholder's Agreement. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Stockholder's Agreement faxed to Duke Advantage's counsel on February 10, 2004, and signed by myself on behalf of Duke Advantage.
- I am aware that the Defendants have extensive ties to California. 8. CorMatrix carries out its operations and has an office in Sunnyvale, California. The California address for this facility is the only one listed on CorMatrix's website (http://www.cormatrix.com) as of the date of this declaration. Before moving to the Sunnyvale facility, CorMatrix ran its operations out of facilities in Santa Cruz, California. The individual defendants traveled approximately six times each year to California to solicit additional investors, attend conferences, observe its CorMatrix's operations, meet with medical professionals, and promote CorMatrix's products.
- 9. The Defendants' only connections to Georgia are that CorMatrix is incorporated in that state, and two of the three CorMatrix executives named in this lawsuit have their personal residences in Georgia. In fact, the corporate address of CorMatrix is the home address for CorMatrix's CEO, David Camp. I am not aware that CorMatrix has any nonexecutive employees working for it in Georgia.
- Duke Advantage has no connections with Georgia other than through its 10. investment in CorMatrix, which was solicited by the Defendants in California. I have only been to Georgia twice in connection with Duke Advantage business, once to attend a shareholders

meeting in late 2006, and again after this litigation commenced to attend another shareholder's meeting in June of this year. Duke Advantage does not carry out any activities in Georgia, and I have no plans to travel to Georgia in the future in connection with any Duke Advantage business. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 13, 2007, at Santa Cruz, California. 

DECLARATION OF ROBERT LADUCA IN SUPPORT OF DUKE ADVANTAGE'S OPPOSITION TO MOITON TO DISMISS (CASE NO. C-07-2950 RMW)